

EUDR: Will the p&p industry be able to tame the bureaucratic monster?

Season 3

19 June 2025

Paul-Antoine LACOUR (paul-antoine.lacour@copacel.fr)



Previously in EUDR (what's new since the last AFVP event in June 2024)?

- What is pratically expected from the companies?

- What are the main remaining problems?

- Will the file still evolve at political level?



What's new?

2024

- 2 versions of the Information System put on line on December 5th
 - LIVE (ou TRACES) official site
 - **ACCEPTANCE** for rehearsal
- Implementation regulation UE 2024/3084 published on December 6th (Information System).

• Regulation UE 2024/3234 published on December 23 (postponement of 1 year)



What's new?

2025

- 4th version of the FAQ and 2nd version of the Guidance published on April 15th (simplifications)
- Delegated Act on appendix I (public consultation until May 13th). Not published yet (pratical questions: samples, waste, packaging...).
- Country benchmarking, published on May 23

+ technical documents througout 2024 and 2025 (users' manual of the IS, specifications of the API, specification of the GeoJSON....)



- Requirements are different for:
 - \checkmark upstream and downstream operators.
 - ✓ SMEs and non SMEs operators (this distinction applies to downstream operators only).

• **Upstream operator** = places a product on the EU market (= no Due Diligence before)

Example = a papermaker in France imports pulp from Brazil.

 Downstream operator = places on the EU market a product whose « components of ingredients (all of them) have previously been subject to a DD » (FAQ 3.4).

Example = a papermaker in France purchases pulp produced in France, imported from Sweden or purchased to a legal entity in the EU that has already made the DDS for non-EU pulp.



- **Upstream** operators (be it a SME or a non-SME) have to:
 - ✓ Carry out a DD (Collect information (art. 9) and, if necessary, risk analysis and risk mitigation).
 - ✓ Submitt a DD Satement in the IS before selling products (art. 4.2, FAQ 3.1).
- The upstream operator decides if geolocation data will be kept hidden (or not) (FAQ 3.6, FAQ 7.7).





- Non-SME downstream operator :
 - ✓ Ascertains that the DD of the pulp has been done by (i) collecting 2 numbers (DDS reference number and DDS verification number) and (ii) by checking the validity of the DDS

✓ **Submits a DDS** for the paper reels it produces

- As non-SME papermakers retain legal responsibility, they could decide to take further steps (require further information, make audits ...).
- Non-SME papermakers do not have to collect information required by Art. 9 EUDR (including geolocation).





SME downstream operator :

✓ Holds a register of the suppliers and customers

✓ Store these data for 5 years

✓ Made the data available to competent autorithies

- Send to their customers the « DDS numbers » of the raw material that purchase (and that are associated to the products they sell)
- SME operators retain the legal responsability of the products they sell (FAQ 3.5) (pb of legal consistency)
- Remark: customers may on SME downstream operators will have difficult time(ex: pulp producers vis-à-vis sawmills selling chips, converters vis-à-vis SME papermakers, odd situation of a « chain of downstream operators »).



Frequency of the DDS (FAQ 5.19)

- One DDS can cover multiple physical batches/shipments of multiple different products (but the validity is maximum one year).
- The DDS has to be submitted to the IS before the producst is placed on the market
- Once the volume mentioned in the DDS is equal to the volume of products placed on the market, a new DDS has to been submitted.
- If the location of the sale is not known when the DDS is submitted, it is possible to register has « export »





The issue of the transition period





What are the remaining problems?

- Legal risk for companies (huge gap between the FAQ and the EUDR).
- Process of DD for the import from countries that are not at « low risk ».
- Management of the system by the SMEs.
- Interfaces between the operators along a value chain.
- Still open questions on the « workability » of the IS.



Will this file still evolve at political level?

- The answer is: very likely
 - ✓ Several regulation adopted in the frame of the Green Deal are subject to changes (CSRD, CS3D).
 - ✓ In May, 19 Ministries of Agriculture asked for a simplification of the EUDR.
 - ✓ This week, the right wing of the European Parliament had a similar request.
 - ✓ Disatisfaction of some countries (US, China, Brazil....).
 - Presure from trade associations (even if some companies see the possibility to manage complexity as a commercial advantage).
- Difficult to predict how it will evolve, but the series is not over.



Questions







23, rue d'Aumale – 75009 Paris, France | 01 53 89 24 00

mail@copacel.fr

www.copacel.fr

@Copacel_